



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/611,787	06/30/2003	Jeremy L. Rover	42P17060	1313

8791 7590 03/12/2007  
BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN  
12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD  
SEVENTH FLOOR  
LOS ANGELES, CA 90025-1030

EXAMINER
----------

WANG, LIANGCHE

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2155

SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
3 MONTHS	03/12/2007	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/611,787	<b>Applicant(s)</b> ROVER ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Liang-che Alex Wang	<b>Art Unit</b> 2155	

**-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --**

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 February 2007.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application                       |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/5/07</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

### DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-27 are presented for examination.
2. This action is in response to amendment filed on 2/05/2007.
3. Claims 1, 16, and 22 have been amended, and claims 28-30 are cancelled.

#### *Paper Submitted*

4. It is hereby acknowledged that the following papers have been received and placed of record in the file:
5. **Information Disclosure Statement** as received on 2/5/2007 is considered.

#### *Response to Arguments*

6. Applicant's arguments filed 2/5/2007, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
7. In that remarks, applicant's argues in substance:
  - a. That: Wiedeman does not disclose "a network resource wrapper" as recited in claims 1, 16 and 22. (page 11)

This is found not persuasive because Wiedeman teaches the added limitation, "wherein the network component (SUT) is associated with a network resource wrapper (switch file)(Col 2 lines 50-57, switch file is associated with SUT), the network resource wrapper to provide a machine accessible and standardized description of the network component (Col 2 lines 50-57, switch file provides information for SUT to connect to VLANs, which corresponds to" a machine accessible and standardized description of the network component" );

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language:

9. Claims 1, 3, 5-10, 13, 15, 16, 19-22, 25-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Wiedeman et al., US Patent Number 6,651,093, hereinafter Wiedeman.
10. Referring to claim 1, Wiedeman teaches a method of changing a network location of a network component (Col 2 lines 44-45, 50-54, Col 5 lines 43-44, Col 6 lines 1-21) comprising:
- a. programmatically (Col 6 line 1, a connect command) interrupting a link (Col 6 lines 9-12) between the network component (SUT) and a network (default VLAN) (Col 5 line 62-Col 6 line 5, a connection command caused SUT to time out, connection is lost between SUT and default VLAN), wherein the network component (SUT) is associated with a network resource wrapper (switch file)(Col 2 lines 50-57, switch file is associated with SUT), the network resource wrapper to provide a machine accessible and standardized description of the network component (Col 2 lines 50-57, switch file provides information for SUT to connect to VLANs, which corresponds to” a machine accessible and standardized description of the network component” );

- b. changing the network (default VLAN to indicated VLAN) to which the network component is linked (Col 6 lines 17-19); and
  - c. establishing a link between the network component and the changed network (indicated VLAN, Col 6 lines 19-20).
- 11. Referring to claim 3, Wiedeman teaches the method of claim 1, wherein programmatically interrupting the link between the network component and the network comprises: interrupting a confirmation signal from a cable that connects the network component to the network (Col 6 lines 8-12).
- 12. Referring to claim 5, Wiedeman teaches the method of claim 1, wherein programmatically interrupting the link between the network component and the network comprises: opening a switch that connects the network component to the network (Col 6 lines 17-21).
- 13. Referring to claim 6, Wiedeman teaches the method of claim 1, wherein changing the network to which the network component is linked comprises: programmatically disassociating the network component from a first network (Col 6 lines 1-5, default VLAN); and programmatically associating the network component with a second network (Col 6 lines 18-21, indicated VLAN).
- 14. Referring to claim 7, Wiedeman teaches the method of claim 1, wherein changing the network to which the network component is linked comprises: programmatically reconfiguring the network (Col 5 line 62- Col 6 line 21, switch file is updated).
- 15. Referring to claim 8, Wiedeman teaches the method of claim 7, wherein programmatically reconfiguring the network comprises: programmatically configuring a

Virtual Local Area Network (VLAN) switch to include the network component in a VLAN of the VLAN switch (Col 4 lines 35-53).

16. Referring to claim 9, Wiedeman teaches the method of claim 7, wherein programmatically reconfiguring the network comprises: programmatically configuring a router (item 104) to associate a network interface with the network component (figure 1, Col 5 line 62- Col 6 line 21).
17. Referring to claim 10, Wiedeman teaches the method of claim 7, wherein programmatically reconfiguring the network comprises: programmatically configuring a Dynamic Host Configuration Protocol (DHCP) server to associate a network interface with Internet Protocol (IP) address information (Col 1 lines 50-55).
18. Referring to claim 13, Wiedeman teaches the method of claim 1, wherein establishing the link between the network component and the changed network comprises: providing a confirmation signal to a cable that connects the network component to the network (Col 6 lines 1-21).
19. Referring to claim 3, Wiedeman teaches the method of claim 1, wherein establishing the link between the network component and the changed network comprises: closing a switch that connects the network component to the network (Col 6 lines 1-21).
20. Referring to claim 16, Wiedeman teaches a system comprising:
  - a. a network component (SUT) to connect with a network (default VLAN)(Col 5 lines 43-44), wherein the network component (SUT) is associated with a network resource wrapper (switch file)(Col 2 lines 50-57, switch file is associated with SUT), the network resource wrapper to provide a machine accessible and standardized description of the network component (Col 2 lines 50-57, switch file

provides information for SUT to connect to VLANs, which corresponds to” a machine accessible and standardized description of the network component” ); and

- b. a node (system 401) to change the location of the network component (Col 5 lines 63-64), the node having a processor and logic executable thereon to

interrupt a link (Col 6 lines 9-12) between the network component (SUT) and a network (default VLAN) (Col 5 line 62-Col 6 line 5, a connection command caused SUT to time out, connection is lost between SUT and default VLAN); change the network (default VLAN to indicated VLAN) to which the network component is linked (Col 6 lines 17-19); and establish a link between the network component and the changed network (indicated VLAN, Col 6 lines 19-20).

- 21. Referring to claim 17, Wiedeman teaches the system of claim 16, further comprising: a hub (CAT) to provide the link between the network component (SUT) and the network VLAN); and wherein the node having the processor and logic executable thereon to interrupt the link between the network component and the network comprises the node having logic executable thereon to power down the hub that provides the link between the network component and the network.
- 22. Referring to claim 19, Wiedeman teaches the system of claim 16, wherein the node having a processor and logic executable thereon to change the network to which the network component is linked comprises the node having logic executable thereon to: programmatically disassociate the network component from a first network (Col 6 lines 1-5, default VLAN); and programmatically associate the network component with a second network (Col 6 lines 18-21, indicated VLAN).

Art Unit: 2155

23. Referring to claim 20, Wiedeman teaches the system of claim 16, wherein the node having a processor and logic executable thereon to change the network to which the network component is linked comprises the node having logic executable thereon to: programmatically reconfigure the network (Col 5 line 62- Col 6 line 21, switch file is updated).
24. Referring to claim 21, Wiedeman teaches the system of claim 20, wherein the node having a processor and logic executable thereon to programmatically reconfigure the network comprises the node having logic executable thereon to: programmatically configure a Virtual Local Area Network (VLAN) switch to include the network component in a VLAN of the VLAN switch (Col 4 lines 35-53).
25. Referring to claims 22, 25-27 claims 22, 25-27 encompass the same scope of the invention as that of the claims 16, 19-21. Therefore, claims 22, 25-27 are rejected for the same reason as the claims 16, 19-21.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

26. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

27. Claims 2, 11, 12, 17, and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wiedeman in views of Taylor et al., US Publication Number 2002/0065919A1.  
hereinafter Taylor



Art Unit: 2155

28. Referring to claim 2, 11, 12, 17 and 23, Wiedeman teaches the invention as described in claims 1, 7, 16 and 22.

Wiedeman does not teach the system is a second node thereon to power down and up a hub that links the first node and the changed network.

Taylor teaches a control server's ability to reset power and reboot any device through the intelligent power supply in the evens of a hardware or software problem (page 8 [0132-0137]).

It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the control server of Taylor to Wiedeman, so Wiedeman with the control server could remotely power down and power up devices in his system because both Wiedeman and Taylor teaches system control and configuration in a VLAN.

A person with ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make the modification to Wiedeman because having a remote control server to reset devices in a network could reduce the necessity for service visits to the devices which is designed to operate with a minimum of human intervention as taught by Taylor (page 8 [0137]).

29. Claims 4, 14, 16, 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Wiedeman in views of Stewart et al., US Patent Number 6,732,176, hereinafter Stewart.

30. Referring to claims 4 and 14, Wiedeman teaches the network component is disconnected to a network, and reconnected to another network as described in claim 1 (Col 6 lines 1-21).

Wiedeman does not teach access points that connect the network component to the network.

Art Unit: 2155

Stewart teaches access points couple through VLAN (Col 9 lines 28-47, Col 9 line 65- Col 10 line 2).

It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the access point of Stewart to Wiedeman, because both Wiedeman and Stewart teaches network devices connecting to network through VLAN.

A person with ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make the modification to Wiedeman because it would allow a plurality of service providers to utilize a common set of access points to provide service to a potentially overlapping set of customers as taught by Stewart (Col 1 lines 55-66).

31. Referring to claims 16 and 24, claims 16 and 24 encompass the same scope of the invention as that of the claims 4 and 14. Therefore, claims 16 and 24 are rejected for the same reason as the claims 4 and 14.

### ***Conclusion***

32. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).
33. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the

Art Unit: 2155

advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

34. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Liang-che Alex Wang whose telephone number is (571)272-3992. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday, 8:30 am to 5:00 pm.
35. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Saleh Najjar can be reached on (571)272-4006. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.
36. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Liang-che Alex Wang  
March 8, 2007



SALEH NAJJAR  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER